1	BEFORE THE						
	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION						
2							
2	IN THE MATTER OF:						
3)						
4	DARRYL AND DEBORAH CHAPMAN) vs.) No. 12-0218						
-	vs.) No. 12-0218 COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY)						
5)						
	Complaint as to billing/charges)						
6	in Chicago, Illinois.						
	Chicago, Illinois						
7	February 13, 2013						
8	Met pursuant to notice at 1:30 p.m.						
9	BEFORE:						
10	MS. KATINA BAKER, Administrative Law Judge.						
11	APPEARANCES:						
12	MR. MARK L. GOLDSTEIN, PC, by						
	MR. MARK L. GOLDSTEIN						
13	3019 Province Circle						
14	Mundelein, Illinois 60060						
14	and						
15	MS. ERIN BUECHLER						
13	440 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, Illinois 60605						
16	for Commonwealth Edison Company;						
17	MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN						
	1060 West Hollywood						
18	Chicago, Illinois, 60660						
	for the complainant.						
19							
20							
	L.A. COURT REPORTERS, by						
21	Julianne Murphy, CSR						
	License No. 084-004407						
0.0							

1		I N D	ΕX			
				Re-	Re-	Ву
2	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross	direct	cross	Examiner
3	(None.)					
4						
5						
6						
7		EXHI	віт	S		
8	Number	For Identi	ficati	on	In	Evidence
9	Complainant'	S				
	1A and 1B	1	14			132
10						
	Complainant'	S				
11	2					134
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						

- JUDGE BAKER: Pursuant to the authority of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call docket
- ³ 12-0218, Darryl and Deborah Chapman v. Commonwealth
- ⁴ Edison. This is a complaint as to billing and
- ⁵ charges in Chicago, Illinois.
- 6 Could the parties please state their
- name for the record, beginning with counsel.
- 8 MR. GOLDSTEIN: On behalf of Commonwealth Edison
- 9 Company, Mark L. Goldstein, 3019 Province Circle,
- Mundelein, Illinois 60060. My telephone number is
- 11 (847) 949-1340.
- With me this afternoon is Erin Buechler of
- 13 ComEd.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Darryl Chapman, Chapman
- family, 1060 West Hollywood Chicago, Illinois,
- 16 60660, area code (312) 656-2239.
- JUDGE BAKER: Just a refresher, last
- time -- it was a bit unconventional, but the
- company -- everybody had been duly made aware the
- last time was going to be our evidentiary hearing.
- The company was ready to proceed with witnesses.
- Mr. Chapman, you needed to be afforded a

- little bit more time, so the company presented
- their case last time, their witnesses. Mr. Chapman
- had an opportunity to cross-examine them.
- And then now today, Mr. Chapman, you're
- ⁵ going to put on your case.
- 6 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Okay.
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, you can proceed then.
- 8 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Are you going to swear the
- 9 witness, Judge?
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, okay.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Well, the witnesses, they
- weren't able to be here, so ...
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Were you sworn the last time
- yourself?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: We've all been sworn.
- JUDGE BAKER: But I mean, in any event, he's
- ¹⁷ not --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I'm not a witness. I'm the
- 19 actual person.
- JUDGE BAKER: So --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: In either case, two things:
- I did file with Springfield a rule to show cause,

- that this gentleman refused to forward the
- documents in which I had requested.
- Also both of his witnesses perjured
- themselves when we were last here, and the
- 5 gentleman that actually came out to the building --
- 6 we had -- our janitor that was not in the United
- ⁷ States and we wanted him to come here, and he's the
- one that actually allows him into the building.
- 9 He recalls three separate individuals that
- on a consistent basis have shown up at our building
- for unannounced visits, and on two separate
- occasions I happened to be home with this gentleman
- that testified because he shut off the power to
- that side of the building.
- And I immediately went downstairs to see
- who's tampering with the power again and we saw
- that it was him. And he testified that he had only
- been to the building one time.
- The other person testified -- well, I'll
- say this: What we requested was to show that there
- were several people that obviously were dispatched
- to go to that building to specifically check this.

- We'd like to -- I didn't write on an exhibit but to
- show their own tag and the date of their tag for
- 3 the building.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. I feel that we're -- we're
- 5 getting a little -- it's a little jumbled right
- now, so why don't we take one issue at a time.
- 7 The first is you presented the company
- 8 with a discovery request, correct?
- 9 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yes, I did, and they did
- not respond -- well, he sent some things but he
- didn't respond to what we were requesting.
- 12 And if they have a dispatch -- I mean, as
- many properties that we have in Chicago, they have
- a record of who they dispatched and what they were
- dispatched to do.
- And he testified and said that he had been
- to the building only one time and he did nothing,
- and that's not true. He actually took off the
- meter. And we wanted to show proof of --
- JUDGE BAKER: What's your response to the
- discovery issue?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I thought we sent this to -- I

- thought we sent this out to Mr. Chapman. It shows
- that they were sent out on January 28th.
- I don't know what else to say. If
- 4 Mr. Chapman would like time to review this, he's
- 5 more than free to do that.
- With respect to what he's testifying to,
- ⁷ there was testimony from a tech who was at the
- property who testified at the last hearing.
- 9 Mr. Chapman had the opportunity to cross-examine
- him and now he's contesting --
- JUDGE BAKER: Once again, let's deal with the
- 12 first thing first.
- So this is a discovery request --
- 14 (Discussion off the record.)
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I guess --
- JUDGE BAKER: It appears that he might have that
- in front of him.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. So that was the
- discovery. That's his request for discovery.
- JUDGE BAKER: Are you saying -- are you
- contending you received nothing?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. I'm saying that the

- 1 statement in which the tech --
- JUDGE BAKER: Let's set the tech aside because
- you raised a first issue claiming that you didn't
- 4 receive --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: We didn't receive -- we
- 6 asked for a record of all.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. Let me see your discovery
- 8 request, what you sent the company.
- 9 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Do you have that right
- there in front of you?
- JUDGE BAKER: Is that it, Counsel?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That should be it right
- there.
- JUDGE BAKER: Mr. Goldstein? I see that.
- Well, I received as well but this I
- believe is prior -- this was prior.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah.
- JUDGE BAKER: -- to the last hearing date, that
- 19 request.
- You don't have a copy of it?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Which one?
- JUDGE BAKER: What you -- since the last

- hearing, you've sent them a discovery request,
- ² correct?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yeah. I have a copy of
- 4 that.
- JUDGE BAKER: Yeah. That would be great.
- 6 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It was sent via e-mail.
- JUDGE BAKER: Thanks. So I'm holding a
- 8 discovery request, a copy of a discovery request.
- ⁹ This is what it looks like. It was an e-mail.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Right.
- JUDGE BAKER: It's asking for all documents you
- have from ICC concerning this case, all meter
- readings and billing records September '09 to
- 14 September 2011.
- Was that included in it?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. It's actually
- scratched out when it asks for the dates of --
- JUDGE BAKER: I need to see what you are
- ¹⁹ alleging the problem is.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Okay. It doesn't cover
- that time frame, for whatever reason.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay.

- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Incidentally and
- mysteriously, it doesn't cover that time frame.
- JUDGE BAKER: Then you also asked for all
- 4 complaints you have concerning this case.
- 5 I'm not actually sure what that means.
- 6 All employee records of all techs that have been
- ⁷ dispatched.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: We never received that.
- JUDGE BAKER: So you're saying that's not
- included.
- The meter readings, all records you have
- from the building, the department of building from
- 13 September.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: They didn't send that
- either.
- JUDGE BAKER: And all the complaints you
- 17 received from ICC.
- So now I'm going to ask you: Are the
- meter readings and billing records from
- September '09 to September 2012 included in there?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: No, they're not, Judge. Here's
- our response to Mr. Chapman with respect to all of

- ¹ the data requests he made.
- MS. BUECHLER: We went back as far as we could.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: We went back the two years as
- ⁴ required by statute.
- JUDGE BAKER: So the two years from the filing
- of the complaint, which would have been --
- 7 MR. GOLDSTEIN: And I thought this was quite
- 8 clear with respect to that request. Mr. Chapman
- 9 made that request at the status hearings in this
- matter, and I believe at each time that he made
- that request I informed him that ComEd is only
- required to go back the two-year period.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: The problem with that is
- the actual incident itself is not recorded the same
- date, so if he's saying with -- and correct me if
- 16 I'm wrong, but what appeared to be stall tactics of
- continuing to escalate this case so that he could
- say, Well, this is the date that you filed so we
- don't have to show you any records of the actual
- date that these things transpired to bring us to
- why we're here today.
- JUDGE BAKER: Let me just finish this data

- 1 request so that I can keep everything in order
- ² here.
- Are the employee records of the techs
- that were dispatched, is that included in here?
- MS. BUECHLER: We objected to a portion of that.
- 6 However, the reports that we had from techs that
- went out there are included.
- JUDGE BAKER: I'm assuming -- I haven't -- I
- haven't looked at this, so I'm assuming you
- objected to the records part, but anything
- pertaining to his property you included?
- MS. BUECHLER: Correct.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. Fine. So that's not at
- issue.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Well, that is an issue for
- me because how do you get to pick and choose what
- you're going to send out?
- JUDGE BAKER: They're only going to send you out
- any information pertaining to your property.
- They're not going to send you out --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. I asked only for my
- unit.

- JUDGE BAKER: Yeah. They're saying that they
- ² sent that.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: They didn't send that.
- JUDGE BAKER: So you're going to have to show me
- 5 where you sent it so at least we can narrow this
- 6 down.
- 7 The department of building, was that in
- 8 there?
- 9 MR. GOLDSTEIN: That was objected to.
- MS. BUECHLER: We objected to that. We didn't
- 11 know what -- we don't have the department of
- building.
- JUDGE BAKER: I understand.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: But they were contacted by
- the department of building after I filed the
- 16 complaint.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: That's your statement. That's
- not what we discovered.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It not just ComEd; it's any
- utility company dealing with a property, they have
- to get involved.
- If you have no records, you'd be only one

- that don't have any accountability.
- MS. BUECHLER: That deals with the techs that
- went out to the property.
- JUDGE BAKER: Hm-hmm.
- 5 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It's kind of ironic the
- 6 gentleman testified that he only went out one time,
- but now you have records of techs that went out?
- That's not a meter reader. That's a tech.
- JUDGE BAKER: No. I understand what I'm looking
- ¹⁰ at.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Because our janitor's
- saying about eight or nine times three different
- techs came out, removed the --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Judge, you know, this is all
- hearsay, and I haven't objected to it.
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, here's the problem,
- 17 Mr. Chapman --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I can show the proof of
- what we're saying as well.
- JUDGE BAKER: What you need is the janitor here.
- That's what we've given you ample time to do.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: We do have an affidavit

- 1 for --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: But that's not the janitor, is
- it, Mr. Chapman?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: We have the pictures also.
- We don't have the janitor, but we have more than
- 6 enough that doesn't have anything to do with the
- ⁷ janitor.
- 8 MR. GOLDSTEIN: All right. Let's proceed with
- ⁹ that then.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Give her an opportunity to
- 11 go over this first issue.
- JUDGE BAKER: Who is the tech that was --
- testified last time?
- MS. BUECHLER: John O'Brien.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay.
- MS. BUECHLER: And those were part of our
- exhibits -- a portion of that was part of our
- exhibit at the hearing.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: We put in his report.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. Did you receive all of this
- ²¹ in --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I looked at that, and I

- wasn't able to make heads or tails of what it's
- ² saying.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. Well, you had requested
- 4 that they send the records out of their employees,
- 5 their techs when they came out, and it does appear
- that that's what they've done.
- 7
 It's 1.04, attachment 1.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: And going by that, wouldn't
- ⁹ that be more than one tech? The one that testified
- that he was the only one, he only went out there
- one time, that's an inaccurate statement.
- I'm trying to find what you were -- you
- ¹³ said 01 --
- JUDGE BAKER: It's 1.04, attachment 1, and it's
- ¹⁵ going to look like this.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Judge --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Let me see that first page
- 18 of it.
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, that's just -- that's their
- response to you.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Mr. O'Brien testified with
- respect to his visit to the property. That's all

- 1 he can testify to.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: He also testified that one
- and only time in August that -- it's in the court
- 4 reporter.
- 5 He only testified he only went to the
- 6 building one time.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. So are all the meter
- 8 readings and billing records from what was filed in
- 9 March of '12 to March of '10, is that included in
- there?
- MS. BUECHLER: That would be included in 1.02, I
- think.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: You should have October
- of '10.
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, yes, because it's going to
- go March '10 till --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yeah, it should. So
- ¹⁹ October '10 --
- JUDGE BAKER: That's going to be included in
- there.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: October '10 is showing that

- there was no problems. Everything was just great.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. We're going to get to all
- of that. Let's just -- I still want to address
- 4 this.
- 5 So it appears to me in reviewing what
- was sent to Mr. Chapman, they are only required to
- ⁷ keep records for two years, so they did send you
- 8 two years' worth of records.
- 9 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It's actually not because
- it skips over. It has April, May --
- JUDGE BAKER: What are you looking at?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I'm looking at the billing
- cycle from when it starts at the bottom at
- January 30th of '09 and then it just begins to skip
- up. And it conveniently skips over the actual
- dates.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: What is he looking at?
- JUDGE BAKER: Can you just direct everybody's
- 19 attention to the records that --
- MS. BUECHLER: Okay. I believe Mr. Chapman is
- referring to ComEd discovery response 1.02,
- 22 attachment 1, Bates stamped 135, which is the meter

- reading history for his meter. It begins at
- ² March 31, 2009.
- We redacted a portion of the bill account
- 4 numbers because those did not -- they were not
- 5 Mr. Chapman's account. So for privacy concerns we
- 6 had to redact those.
- However, the readings are all included.
- 8 So it goes month by month starting with March 31st,
- ⁹ April 28th --
- JUDGE BAKER: So we actually have '09 in there?
- MS. BUECHLER: Correct, for the meter reading,
- 12 not for the --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Not for the billing.
- MS. BUECHLER: The actual bills are the billing
- summary.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay.
- MS. BUECHLER: So it goes month by month. I
- don't see skipping, so I'm not quite sure --
- JUDGE BAKER: Can you show --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: What does he mean by skipping?
- What do you mean by that?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I'm showing that you --

- according to this, all of the billing, there's no
- 2 problem with the billing. Everything was just
- 3 hunky-dory.
- 4 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Exactly.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Then we have pictures where
- they tagged the meters themselves.
- JUDGE BAKER: Remember, we're trying to do
- 8 things one thing at a time.
- 9 So we've established that you have, in
- 10 fact, the meter readings.
- 11 Can you direct my attention, Mr. Chapman's
- attention to his billing history within that
- document?
- MS. BUECHLER: Sure. Again, 1.02, attachment 1,
- Bates stamped 6 through 7 would be the account
- summary, and then beginning at Bates stamp No. 8 --
- JUDGE BAKER: What dates are we with that?
- MS. BUECHLER: For that particular account
- summary it begins in January 2011 to December 2012.
- The billing -- the bills that we have --
- the actual bills, which begin on Bates stamp No. 8
- through 57, starting at page 56, we have the

- December 28th, 2010 bill all the way through
- 2 December 27th, 2012.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: So you don't have a
- January -- I mean, I'm sorry. Do you have an
- ⁵ October 2010?
- 6 MS. BUECHLER: No.
- 7 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Do you have a
- 8 November 2010?
- 9 MS. BUECHLER: Yes, that's the -- because it's
- service date.
- So, Judge, we bill a month behind, so
- December --
- JUDGE BAKER: Can you give me the first date?
- MS. BUECHLER: Sure. The first date -- the bill
- was issued December 28th, 2010. That was for
- service from November 23rd, 2010 through
- 17 December 28th, 2010.
- JUDGE BAKER: And it goes through 2012, correct?
- MS. BUECHLER: Yes, Judge.
- JUDGE BAKER: Or actually does it -- it goes
- through today, current?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: No. It isn't current.

- JUDGE BAKER: I mean, aside from what he hasn't
- been billed yet.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: It goes through --
- 4 MS. BUECHLER: The actual bills go through
- December 27th, 2012, as did the account summary.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay.
- MS. BUECHLER: And that was because we sent it
- ⁸ out in January.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. So your contention just is
- that it's only for the past two years then?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Well, we didn't have
- power -- where they actually have a bill, we didn't
- have power to the unit.
- JUDGE BAKER: We're going to get to that right
- 15 now.
- What you originally said was, I didn't get
- this stuff.
- But now we're establishing --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I don't have that, right.
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, we're establishing what they
- sent you. That's what I want to do is establish
- what, in fact, you received.

- So the billing records were --- the two
- years that you were required to provide you did
- send to them. The tech records, you did send to
- 4 them. The building department, you did not send to
- 5 them.
- MS. BUECHLER: We objected. Correct, Judge.
- JUDGE BAKER: Then you have all complaints
- you've received from ICC department. I'm not quite
- ⁹ sure what that means.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Well, we filed several
- complaints with ComEd and --
- JUDGE BAKER: You mean your complaints?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yes. We asked them --
- because he said that he had no complaints in the
- last court order -- I mean, the last court date,
- and I'm trying to figure out how it is you don't
- have any complaints outside of this one complaint
- letter that she has in her hand and I have a copy
- of it here, as if to say this is the only time that
- anyone has made any complaints to what's been going
- on.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: That certainly is the only

- formal complaint, Judge.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: We filed several complaints
- even trying to get ComEd to go out to our building
- 4 to correct the issue.
- 5 And they finally came out. They have no
- for record of them coming out for whatever --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Well, you have the record of the
- 8 techs coming out to the building.
- 9 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Do you have a record of a
- tech coming out for October 2010?
- JUDGE BAKER: So okay. Why don't we take it one
- step at a time. You're going to start by telling
- me what the problem is and that's how we're going
- to proceed.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Okay.
- JUDGE BAKER: What you're contesting, what dates
- the problems occurred, et cetera. Okay.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Basically they have a
- billing cycle supposedly for the month of October,
- and it's listed --
- JUDGE BAKER: What October are we talking about?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: October 2010, and I'm

- trying to figure out how is it that you have a
- billing record and there was no power to the unit
- ³ during that time frame.
- JUDGE BAKER: You've got to start at the
- beginning where the problem started. Start at the
- 6 beginning.
- ⁷ I've never heard this story before. I'm
- your neighbor and you're going to explain to me
- 9 what's going on with ComEd.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Well, basically our power
- was going on and going off, and we contacted the
- association to bring it to their attention.
- JUDGE BAKER: What do you mean it was going on
- 14 and off? Just you'd be sitting in the unit and it
- would just stop?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Just like that. So we
- would immediately run down the back stairs to run
- to the power area and find out if someone's
- downstairs tampering with the meters because that
- was another issue when we had two individuals
- ²¹ running --
- JUDGE BAKER: How long would it go out for?

- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It would be out for a week.
- It's just -- it's gone.
- And then one side of --
- JUDGE BAKER: And then when that would happen,
- would you contact ComEd?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yes. We would call them
- ⁷ immediately. We'd call them. We'd get their
- 8 recording.
- JUDGE BAKER: How often was that happening?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It was during that month we
- were having that problem.
- JUDGE BAKER: Of October '10?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yeah. Now, let's say just
- to put out a number, let's say the summertime -- or
- let's say September we started to notice that we
- only had power on one side of the house, and you'd
- plug up something, everything would go off.
- JUDGE BAKER: Like a surge where you've got to
- go flip the switch or something?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Exactly. So we'd go hit
- the surge and nothing would happen.
- JUDGE BAKER: It wouldn't come back on?

- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It wouldn't come back on
- because you have the individual surge.
- JUDGE BAKER: Would it be showing that it was
- flipped off, though?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No -- right. Once you hit
- 6 the surge, you would show --
- JUDGE BAKER: You know how like if there's too
- 8 much on a particular circuit and then it blows,
- ⁹ when you go to the box, you see where it's clicked
- ¹⁰ off?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Exactly. You'll see which
- level.
- JUDGE BAKER: So would it be clicked off?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. No, it wouldn't. It's
- like one, two, three, so it kind of divides up and
- you can tell where the overload -- if it's two, we
- would assume that it would come out of the dining
- room because of the air-conditioner. It's 225.
- 19 That would be the obvious.
- But if there's no air-conditioner on and
- we see that it's coming out of the bedroom, then
- we're trying to figure out, Well, how is that? The

- ¹ air-conditioner is not on.
- So none of it was really making sense.
- JUDGE BAKER: So when you'd go down and you
- would try and flip it on and off, it still wouldn't
- 5 come back on?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Not from upstairs, so then
- ⁷ we'd have -- because we have a circuit breaker.
- 8 Each unit has their own circuit breaker.
- 9 So we would check from the unit to find
- out what's going on.
- JUDGE BAKER: That's what I thought we were
- talking about, that you were at the circuit
- breaker.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Right. Right. We have
- 15 two.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: So then we would have
- realized, okay, there's a bigger problem, to go
- downstairs and hit the main power source or have
- the building manager to shut the power down, turn
- the power back up, hoping everything would reload.
- JUDGE BAKER: And would it?

- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It's kind of iffy. There's
- sometimes it's not going to reload and we couldn't
- figure out what the issue was. But when it did
- 4 come back up, it was only one side of the house.
- 5 Then finally it completely shut off. So
- 6 we're contacting ComEd and they sent out an
- individual and he said, Well, you know what, if
- it's outside of the building, we would take care of
- 9 it. If it's inside of the building, it's your
- 10 responsibility.
- And we talked to the association. We
- said, Look, we pay a monthly assessment. Service
- us. We need this taken care of.
- Well, then it was ComEd, constantly
- calling their corporate office, that said that
- you're going to have your own contractor to come
- out here and do this.
- JUDGE BAKER: Because ComEd told you that it was
- inside the building?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Right, just over their
- phone. They hadn't come out as of yet, and they
- said, Well, you know --

- JUDGE BAKER: Well, I thought somebody came out,
- ² because --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: They finally had a person
- 4 come out to the alley. He didn't come in the
- ⁵ building.
- And I guess his research said that
- ⁷ everything's fine on the wire in the alley. So we
- had to call back to get someone to come out and
- ⁹ tell them, We need you to come inside of this
- building where our meter's at.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: So once we finally got
- someone to come out, the gentleman came out and he
- tagged the meter as an emergency.
- And he did the check. He went upstairs,
- knocked on the door and said --
- JUDGE BAKER: Knocked on your door?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Knocked on our door and
- said that, I'm about to shut off your power.
- JUDGE BAKER: Why?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: And we asked him -- well,
- we sent for him so we had been waiting for someone

- 1 to come.
- JUDGE BAKER: Why is he going to shut it off?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Well, he's trying to find
- out -- he wants to do a check on the power source
- 5 and what we had explained what the problem is.
- So he said okay, and we said that's fine.
- ⁷ So he goes downstairs and he shuts off the power
- and he comes back up and he said, Now I want to
- 9 check from the inside.
- And he saw the lights on. He said, Wait a
- minute. I shut off your power.
- And we said, This is why we've been trying
- to get you guys out here.
- And he said, Wait a minute.
- So he goes back downstairs and he shuts
- the power off again. He comes backs up; the power
- is still on.
- So we're telling him that certain
- aspects of the house we're not using until we
- figure out what's wrong because it looks like if we
- turn this light on, then that one dims or
- everything goes off.

- So as we're explaining this to the ComEd
- guy, he goes back downstairs and he said, Okay.
- I'm going to shut the power off from your unit.
- 4 And he shuts the power off --
- JUDGE BAKER: Meaning what, I'm going to shut it
- off from your unit?
- 7 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: The circuit breaker.
- BAKER: I thought that's what he was
- ⁹ doing.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. He was doing it from
- the main power source downstairs.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: And when he hit the power
- source, we still --
- JUDGE BAKER: So there's two -- there's your
- individual circuit breaker and then there's a
- building?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Exactly, yeah.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Then there's an emergency
- one that ComEd has the only access to too.
- But once he turned the power off, we still

- 1 had power, and he immediately tagged the meter and
- shut off all the power and said, This is a
- hazardous -- how long has this been going on?
- And we said, Dammit. We've been trying to
- tell you guys this all along. This is why we're
- trying to get you guys out here.
- JUDGE BAKER: So when was this that he came out?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: This is October of 2010.
- 9 JUDGE BAKER: October what?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: 21st.
- JUDGE BAKER: 2010. Okay.
- So now you had stated that it was -- that
- had been happening for the month of October 2010.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yes.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: And then we didn't have any
- power.
- JUDGE BAKER: So he shut it off completely.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Right. We didn't have any
- power from that point. We had to get a contractor
- to come out and chase the wires and find out --
- JUDGE BAKER: What was the problem?

- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Exactly.
- JUDGE BAKER: What was the problem?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It was an overload because
- 4 there was power coming into our unit and going out
- of our unit and it wasn't explained where is it
- 6 coming from.
- So we're thinking it's got to be my
- 8 next-door neighbor, so we were trying to call the
- other owner of that unit. And they had a -- the
- unit was going into foreclosure so we really
- weren't getting the cooperation we needed from that
- 12 individual.
- So we had to bring the association on
- board and say, Look. This is what's going on.
- They've tagged the meter. ComEd said we will not
- turn this power on until after the contractor has
- found what is causing this unload or --
- JUDGE BAKER: When did the contractor come out?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Came out, I would say,
- about a week later, two weeks later. I'm
- estimating time. I would have to look at the
- ²² actual date.

- JUDGE BAKER: And did he fix it then, the
- ² problem?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yes, he did. He came
- out -- he didn't cure the whole problem but he --
- it was more than one wire that was going into our
- 6 unit and coming out of our unit.
- ⁷ He snipped those, and there was a device,
- 8 some type of metal device. He said that it was
- ⁹ very dangerous and very unsafe. Whoever did this
- obviously has some electric knowledge but an idiot
- to even take the chance to do something like that,
- because as he removed it, we had this fire just
- shoot up and everyone fell back.
- And the guy shut the whole building down,
- so the other owners started to come downstairs and
- say, you know, What's going on here?
- And we had to explain to them we had to
- shut down the building, almost had an electrical
- fire here. We're trying to find out where these
- wires are being chased.
- 21 And the contractor said that it's not
- his responsibility to do this. It is hazardous and

- he's going to notify the building department.
- JUDGE BAKER: Wait. Let me stop you there.
- So the hazard was -- just let me get this
- 4 clear in my mind. The hazard was how it was shut
- 5 off?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. How it was wired.
- JUDGE BAKER: How it was originally wired?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. How it had been
- ⁹ illegally wired.
- JUDGE BAKER: Who wired that?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: We don't know who did that.
- We felt it was the previous association president,
- because it looked like -- we would have our
- 14 association meetings and only the residents were
- complaining about on one side of the building 105,
- 16 205, 305, 405, and 105 and 305 and 405 would have
- like these \$100 bills, and it's like this one unit
- that -- one of the association, they got a \$17
- ¹⁹ bill.
- So they didn't really want to help us.
- JUDGE BAKER: So the contractor comes out. He
- looks at it and he says -- he fixes it --

- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: He says definitely
- ² tampered.
- JUDGE BAKER: But he said, This was wired wrong?
- 4 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. He said --
- JUDGE BAKER: Or this was tampered?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yeah. It had been set up
- ⁷ like that.
- But he fixed it that day,
- ⁹ correct?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: He removed those devices,
- 11 right.
- JUDGE BAKER: Correct. So now he's fixed it?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Well, he disconnected my
- unit.
- Now, the problem we were having is they're
- having billing as if there's no problem and we've
- got a month and a half, almost two months of no
- power. Yet they have a bill for our unit.
- And we're wondering which unit is that
- meter actually recording, because you don't have
- the same accuracy of what we are experiencing.
- JUDGE BAKER: So the date that that contractor

- 1 came out and was able to rectify the situation,
- what date was that?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That is a week after the
- 4 meter was tagged, so we're saying --
- JUDGE BAKER: So October --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: 29th or somewhere,
- ⁷ something like that.
- JUDGE BAKER: 29th, we'll say. So then your
- 9 issue -- let me understand this. Let me make sure
- 10 I'm understanding this correctly.
- Your issue is that between -- we're not
- sure but we're going to say October 1st, because
- you're saying the month of October. Between
- October 1st and October 29th, you were having
- sporadic electricity?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Well, there's almost a
- whole month where we had no power, so we were -- I
- don't have the actual dates, but I'm -- what I can
- testify is that my neighbor -- we had to run our
- extension cord, a power cord, out of their unit
- into our unit so we would have power, which caused
- 22 another discrepancy with the association because

- they're saying, You have a wire in the hall.
- JUDGE BAKER: Right. Right. Right.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: You know.
- JUDGE BAKER: Here's what I need to get is I
- 5 asked you when this problem started. You said
- 6 October.
- 7 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. I said -- I estimated
- 8 around September that we started noticing power
- ⁹ just half a unit, a week with no power, then one
- day it's on.
- We noticed that around -- I estimated like
- 12 September.
- JUDGE BAKER: September what?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It became the norm for a
- minute. We were still waiting for someone to come
- in and correct.
- Because we pay an assessment, we're trying
- to get them to do what they need to do. So once we
- 19 contacted --
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. Well, we'll just say
- September 1st. So is your issue -- the issue then
- is between September 1st, 2010 and October 29th,

- 2010, is that what we're discussing here, the bill
- ² for those two months?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. I'm looking -- I have
- ⁴ a problem with the entire billing for the whole
- time that our family has owned that unit, not just
- this time frame in question because you have a bill
- for a unit that don't have power, so what are you
- 8 recording?
- I mean, did you just assume we had power
- and send us a bill? I mean, we asked them for a
- correct meter reading. We don't get one. We get
- surprise visits.
- What are they doing? Why are they showing
- up unannounced and saying, Well, I called you ten
- minutes ago?
- Well, you've had a week to schedule an
- ¹⁷ appointment.
- JUDGE BAKER: Then what you're alleging is that
- based on --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It's inaccurate billing.
- JUDGE BAKER: But based on the fact that during
- that September/October period you were without

- electricity, you still received a bill for that
- ² period?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yes.
- JUDGE BAKER: So that then makes you think -- it
- 5 makes you question all of your bills from then. Am
- 6 I correct?
- 7 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Absolutely.
- 9 JUDGE BAKER: Okay.
- 9 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: And here their records are
- showing there's no problem with this unit and we
- don't understand -- we want to know what meter are
- you reading? And how is it that, you know, we were
- 13 getting --
- JUDGE BAKER: Do you have the contractor's bill?
- Do you have --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: We have his affidavit.
- JUDGE BAKER: I mean, that -- he's giving me an
- 18 affidavit --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: They have a copy of it
- ²⁰ already.
- JUDGE BAKER: -- and some pictures. I'm not
- ²² even --

- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Are these two pictures --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: This here is when your guy
- removed the meter to show this attachment that --
- 4 MR. GOLDSTEIN: What is this?
- 5 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That's your guy marking the
- 6 meter. That stamp only comes from ComEd when there
- ⁷ is a problem and you have 72 hours to correct that
- 8 issue.
- 9 Only ComEd can tag a unit. That's your
- sticker. And it has a type of substance on it so
- you can't peel it off or you can't do anything with
- ¹² it.
- And that's what disturbs me the most, that
- they have no knowledge of this.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: And you did not provide these
- two pictures to me prior to today, did you?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I don't know. You got them
- in a package. You should have all of them. You
- 19 should have --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Well, what I received --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: You should have the device
- that the contractor removed, the pictures of the

- ¹ meters.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I have this picture, your
- response to our data requests, and Mr. Warren's
- ⁴ affidavit, the electrical contractor.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Correct. Correct.
- 6 MR. GOLDSTEIN: But I do not -- I never -- did
- ⁷ not receive these pictures.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Those are from Mr. Warren
- ⁹ and you should have all of that.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: But I did not receive these.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: How could you not? You
- have this and you don't have that?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes.
- All right. Are you going to mark this as
- 15 exhibits?
- JUDGE BAKER: Yeah.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Is this the same picture?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yeah. You should have --
- give me one of those back. Those are the two same.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: What is this?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Same picture as that one.
- He took two different pictures to try to show why

- they tagged -- that little writing on there is
- supposed to show overload and why they tagged the
- ³ meter in the first place.
- 4 MR. GOLDSTEIN: I will be objecting to the
- ⁵ exhibit itself, Judge.
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, I'll tell you, I mean,
- you're definitely -- you're not a photographer.
- I don't even know what I'm looking at
- 9 here.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That's the meter and that
- orange tag is what they actually -- what ComEd puts
- on it if there is a problem or hazardous with the
- meter.
- JUDGE BAKER: I can't, though -- this appears to
- be writing here. What is that writing?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That's what the tech wrote
- on there, the problem why he tagged the meter.
- JUDGE BAKER: Why didn't you take a picture of
- 19 that?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That's what that is a
- picture of.
- JUDGE BAKER: But I mean where the writing is

- 1 legible.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I didn't take the pictures.
- ³ This is what -- the contractor took the pictures.
- 4 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Judge, you know, I obviously
- 5 have objections. He did not take the picture
- 6 himself --
- 7 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I'm present. The building
- 8 department --
- 9 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Can I finish, please?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: When I'm finished, you can.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: No, I can't. It's my turn.
- 12 (Discussion off the record.)
- JUDGE BAKER: Gentlemen, please. Let counsel
- finish his objection. He was in the middle of an
- objection. Let him finish.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: There is no way of telling what
- the writing is on that -- whatever that thing that
- is there.
- We don't even know if that is actually --
- because we can't -- the picture is so blurred, we
- cannot see whether it is actually the complainant's
- meter.

- And so it has absolutely zero evidentiary
- value, and I object to it and ask that it not be
- ³ admitted.
- 4 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Your Honor, we have five
- ⁵ people that were present when -- this date that
- this was tagged, this date that this thing was
- removed, that's why he took a picture of it.
- You have the Chicago building department.
- ⁹ You have the owner of the unit. You have the
- contractor, and you have a maintenance man.
- JUDGE BAKER: I have no evidence, though, that
- they were actually there. I mean, not -- I have no
- reason to disbelieve you but I certainly have no
- reason to -- you have nothing to corroborate that.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: The sticker itself, no one
- can create this. This is a ComEd sticker only.
- You go to any unit, they still use these
- 18 today.
- JUDGE BAKER: I mean, that picture at least
- 20 appears -- let me see that picture. That appears a
- little more clear.
- Or I don't know. Well, no. I guess it's

- from a distance. It may appear more -- what did
- ² that sticker say?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: It's saying left load or
- overload to the meter and that's why the power's
- 5 been shut off.
- JUDGE BAKER: Here's what I'm going to do,
- ⁷ Counsel. I'm going to let the pictures in and
- 8 they're going to carry the weight -- the value of
- ⁹ what they provide.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I would also note for the
- record, Judge, that -- if I can see that.
- We don't even know what year that
- particular -- whatever that thing is. I can't even
- tell that it's a meter from the picture.
- 15 It just says October 21st. There is no
- year on it. It could be --
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, let the record reflect that
- the October 21st is the camera. It's not the --
- it's the camera date, so --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: It's the camera date of what
- 21 year?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: 2010.

- MR. GOLDSTEIN: There's no way of telling that
- ² from the picture.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: The same month that you
- 4 have no record of even having --
- JUDGE BAKER: What he's saying is that it
- doesn't say 2010. It just says October 21st.
- 7 MR. GOLDSTEIN: So it could be 2001 for all I
- 8 know.
- JUDGE BAKER: And here's what I'm going to do --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: And so it has no evidentiary
- value, and again, I really request that you not
- admit this into evidence. It has zero evidentiary
- value.
- We can't read the writing on the --
- whatever that is. You can't even tell if it's a
- meter.
- And so it has no value and I object
- strongly to it being admitted into evidence.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Your Honor, it's
- coincidentally this is the month they have no
- record of we're having service but yet -- let me
- correct myself, that there's no problem with the

- service and they sent a bill out for the next
- 2 month.
- JUDGE BAKER: I'm going to let it in and I'm
- ⁴ going to give it the weight that it deserves.
- 5 So then we have this picture. What is
- 6 this, another --
- 7 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That is the actual picture
- of behind the meter, the device that the contractor
- 9 had to remove that caused the fire as he was
- 10 removing it.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Again, Judge, this picture is
- blurred beyond recognition of anything. It serves
- absolutely no evidentiary value. It has zero
- evidentiary value.
- We can't even tell what it is a picture
- of. We cannot tell what the meter number is either
- on this picture or on the exhibit that you
- admitted, so we have no way of verifying what any
- of these pictures show.
- I strongly object to this exhibit also.
- JUDGE BAKER: My ruling is going to be the same.
- I'm going to let all these pictures in.

- I will, for the record, acknowledge that
- they are of the poorest quality of photographs. I
- mean, we can all agree on that. These pictures
- 4 were --
- 5 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: The best part about it is
- that it shows their sticker that only they could
- ⁷ release.
- And if we go to anyplace where there's a
- 9 meter and remove the meter, you would see this same
- picture here because this is what's behind every
- 11 meter that you --
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, I understand what you're
- saying. The problem I'm having with that,
- Mr. Chapman, is what in this picture proves that
- it's their sticker?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Because only ComEd has --
- JUDGE BAKER: Has orange stickers?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That sticker particularly.
- JUDGE BAKER: What sticker? I mean, I'm not
- even -- you have to acknowledge that based on this
- picture I don't know where the sticker starts,
- where the sticker ends.

- All I'm able to see is something that
- ² appears to be orange with a white -- with something
- white that has some -- it's -- I mean, from the
- quality of the picture, there's nothing that
- identifies it as ComEd. Really all you're looking
- 6 at is white and orange.
- But again, I'm going to allow it in.
- We're going to see how it ties in with the rest of
- your case, but these -- I am just noting on the
- record that these pictures are -- I mean, this
- picture is very poor.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I quess because I was
- there, I have a different visual.
- JUDGE BAKER: Sure. Sure.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I mean, I understand what
- you're saying.
- JUDGE BAKER: I mean, this is the best of the
- three and I'm not really sure what that is.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That is what the power
- source is once you take the meter off.
- JUDGE BAKER: So the meter was, what, here?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That is -- once you take

- the faceplate off the meter, that's what's behind
- ² it.
- JUDGE BAKER: So the meter's been removed in
- 4 this picture?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yes. Those are the power
- sources right there, those grids, those grid lines.
- JUDGE BAKER: Here, here, and here?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Those are power sources.
- ⁹ Those are left and right power sources.
- JUDGE BAKER: So now, let's do this --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Let me understand something
- before we go any further.
- What we're seeing -- what are we seeing
- here? Well, first of all, are we marking the first
- blurred photo as Exhibit 1?
- JUDGE BAKER: Why we don't do this? Why don't
- they all be Exhibit 1.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: 1A and 1B.
- 19 (Whereupon, Complainant's
- Exhibit Nos. 1 and 2 were
- 21 marked for identification
- as of this date.)

- JUDGE BAKER: Yeah.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Which is 1A and which is 1B?
- JUDGE BAKER: This will be 1A, the orange
- sticker, and then the one's that indicate top
- 5 handwritten on them will be B.
- 6 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Let me make one more comment
- yith respect to Exhibit 1A and then I'll go on to
- 8 1B.
- With respect to 1A, we can't even tell
- from that photograph whether -- whatever the
- writing is as attached to whatever the orange thing
- is and whether that orange thing is actually
- attached to a meter. Okay?
- With respect to 1B, if that is the fitting
- without the meter being in front of it, that is
- beyond the meter, Judge, and is the responsibility
- of the complainant if it is what it is, and I have
- no way of telling from the picture.
- JUDGE BAKER: I understand.
- So let's go back to September and October
- of 2010. Can I see a copy of those bills from the
- company?

- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: And November also,
- ² because --
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, it was resolved
- October 29th, 2010, so I want the billing periods
- ⁵ for -- the bills for those billing periods, so
- 6 September 2010 and October 2010.
- MS. BUECHLER: Okay. Judge, I'm referring to
- 8 ComEd responses to discovery 1.06. This was a copy
- ⁹ of the informal complaint and attached to it is the
- billing.
- JUDGE BAKER: So where it's 5108 and 5013?
- MS. BUECHLER: That's correct, Judge.
- JUDGE BAKER: So now your issue is during those
- periods you didn't have service, at least --
- we'll -- again, we'll split it down the middle and
- say at least half the time?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yeah. For which time
- 18 frame?
- JUDGE BAKER: September and October of 2010.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. September -- October,
- none, so if you would say from middle of the month
- of September to October to the date that they came

- out, there was no power.
- JUDGE BAKER: What's the first date you called?
- Because I had asked this before: What's the first
- date that you called, contacted ComEd, regarding
- 5 this issue?
- 6 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Probably would be the first
- ⁷ time we didn't have any power so that would have to
- 8 be in September.
- JUDGE BAKER: So since then, since October --
- since November of 2010 your power situation has
- been okay?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: The only thing of it is I
- don't want to say okay because if you look at the
- 14 billing --
- JUDGE BAKER: I'm not asking about the billing,
- though. Just when you walk in your unit, the
- lights turn on?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Exactly. But we've had the
- electrician come out and do excessive rewiring.
- JUDGE BAKER: So what is the amount owed on this
- 21 account?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I show a balance of \$2,064.36.

- 1 I believe that's --
- JUDGE BAKER: When was the last payment?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: That's as of January 7th, 2013.
- The last payment made, I think it was
- 5 testified to by our witness --
- JUDGE BAKER: Is that for the \$300 -- wait. No.
- 7 I'm sorry.
- 8 MR. GOLDSTEIN: I show a \$354.18 payment on
- 9 September 12th, 2011.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: There should be about two
- or three payments for 300 or more. Each payment we
- made was about 300 or so. The problem is that --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I don't see anything that's --
- JUDGE BAKER: So when was it?
- MS. BUECHLER: September 12th, 2011.
- JUDGE BAKER: 2011?
- MS. BUECHLER: Yes, Judge.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: That's it. Yeah.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. And --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: During this whole time
- frame we've been reaching out to ComEd and ICC
- 22 concerning the billing, and we asked them to -- two

- things: One of them they did do. They just didn't
- tell us they were doing it.
- We were asking them to come out and to
- 4 check our unit as well as the rest of the building
- 5 to make sure that there was no more tampering
- 6 because we have a consistent billing cycle and we
- have a problem with you don't have any knowledge of
- 8 us not having power. You have a bill for us.
- 9 You don't have any knowledge of us having
- tampering, but you have a bill for us. So you have
- smooth-sailing billing but you have no knowledge of
- any problems that have went on in the unit, so --
- JUDGE BAKER: Let me ask you this: If it's an
- internal unit and you acknowledge that -- you
- suspect that the previous -- somebody in the
- building has tampered -- had tampered with those
- units --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That's my personal feeling.
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, it appears based on what
- you're saying. How does that become ComEd's
- responsibility?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Where ComEd is where --

- when you get into the actual wiring that's coming
- into building, that's ComEd, and they went into
- 3 ComEd wiring to do this.
- 4 That's a brave soldier to even tamper
- 5 with --
- JUDGE BAKER: It was my understanding based on
- ⁷ what you just testified, and we can have the court
- 8 reporter read it back, the issue was internal.
- 9 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. It's behind -- it's
- dealing with the power coming into the building.
- 11 That's behind the meter. That's not
- anything done visibly. They actually went into the
- power source coming into the building and tapped
- into that and then rerouted -- they're getting
- power on one, two, or three different units and
- it's going in but it's only being recorded on one
- meter.
- JUDGE BAKER: Right, because it's meter
- 19 tampering.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Yeah. But it's not just
- meter tampering. You're sending an overload.
- That's way too much power going into one particular

- ¹ unit.
- JUDGE BAKER: But what I'm asking you, and I
- want to understand your position on this, if
- 4 there's tampering, how do they become responsible
- ⁵ for that?
- If somebody -- if your neighbor has done
- ⁷ something illegal and tampered and is basically
- 8 stealing electricity --
- 9 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Correct.
- JUDGE BAKER: -- from the company, from his
- 11 fellow tenants, et cetera, how does the company
- become responsible for that?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Where they're responsible
- is for one, as the department of building said, the
- danger of the aspect, that they should have came
- out and shut it down and actually traced the wire
- from where it was coming from that we would know --
- JUDGE BAKER: But didn't they shut it down? I
- mean, your testimony, again --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: They only did me. They
- only did my unit.
- They didn't do anyone else because I only

- paid for one contractor. I wasn't going to pay for
- them to do the whole building.
- JUDGE BAKER: Of course you're not going to pay
- for everybody's units, you know, their issues to be
- ⁵ resolved.
- 6 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: But we still do have the
- ⁷ same tampering issues at the building. My unit, I
- gust can't tell is this recording my unit or is
- ⁹ this recording another unit?
- This is the problem that I have right now,
- because I don't know -- they're showing me billing
- like we've never had any issues and I'm trying to
- figure out how do you have a bill for a time frame
- where we have no power and then how do you have
- power -- you have billing for when we can only get
- a piece of power through this unit?
- JUDGE BAKER: Your bills -- now, you had
- indicated earlier that some people received \$100
- bills and that there was the one gentleman that was
- getting a \$17 bill.
- When I'm going over the amounts of your
- bill, I mean, it does -- \$53, \$91, \$135, \$51, \$50.

- So your contention isn't that you -- for
- those two months or for the entire time that you
- haven't used electric service because surely you
- 4 have.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: We don't have a problem
- 6 with that. We need our services.
- What the problem that I have is how do you
- 8 determine what we did in January to give me \$135
- ⁹ bill and then in February, it's \$51?
- What did we would do differently during
- that time frame? Because really we're not home
- until 6:30, 7:00 o'clock at night.
- So what happened that month that we get
- this outstanding amount of billing? And that's how
- we knew there was some type of tampering or
- something going on with the billing because it's
- inaccurate billing.
- Our lifestyles haven't changed. Nothing's
- changed but our billing has. And that's more than
- a 2 percent increase.
- JUDGE BAKER: Any response?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Judge, whatever the problem is,

- it's a problem beyond the meter. It's
- Mr. Chapman's responsibility to fix whatever that
- ³ problem was. I assume he did.
- The bills are whatever the bills are.
- 5 Whatever the electricity flowing through the meter,
- from the alley into the meter, that's what was
- recorded and that's what he has to pay for.
- JUDGE BAKER: Let me ask you this: After this
- 9 problem in December -- or excuse me, October of
- 2011, do you have that still -- is it still the
- same meter?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. The meter has been
- changed a few times. Each time a guy came out, it
- looked like he's taking a meter with him, and we're
- trying to figure out why are you changing the
- meter. We didn't request you do that.
- JUDGE BAKER: Well, I would think you'd want the
- meter changed, though, right, to ensure it's --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: We've had about three or
- four different meter changes. That's what I'm
- saying.
- JUDGE BAKER: How many times has the meter been

- 1 changed?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: As far as we know, none. I
- don't see any change in the meter.
- MS. BUECHLER: Judge, I think John O'Brien may
- based on have spoke to this in his testimony, but based on
- that meter reading, which was again attached to
- ⁷ 1.2, Bates stamp 135, there's no record of the
- 8 meter ever being changed.
- 9 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That's a problem. And
- ¹⁰ John --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: And there's another problem,
- Judge, going back to Exhibit 1A, and as I pointed
- out before, there is some writing on something,
- 14 which we don't know whether it is even a meter --
- JUDGE BAKER: We've already --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: But we don't even know -- and I
- want to repeat again: We don't even know if that
- writing is on Mr. Chapman's meter.
- JUDGE BAKER: What evidence do you have to
- support that the meter's been changed several
- times?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I don't know if this

- gentleman testified that he changed the meter when
- he came out, and he stated that he only came out
- once, which he's been out more than -- at least
- 4 three times, this one particular guy that they had
- 5 testify.
- The problem of it is look at the date that
- ⁷ he admitted that he came out. It's a year later
- 8 than the actual incident itself.
- JUDGE BAKER: Let me ask you this: What
- evidence do you have that the meter's been changed
- several times? Do you have something --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I wouldn't be able to show
- anything. The fact that I walked up on it and saw
- that the guy -- once I got downstairs when he
- turned my power off, I asked you, I need to see
- your ID. Who are you -- in reverse: I asked him,
- Why are you turning off my power?
- And he said he's from ComEd. I said, I
- need to see your ID and what is your badge number?
- And he gave me that and he's like, May I
- 21 proceed? And I said fine.
- Well, the next time he came out I didn't

- ask for his badge because I had seen him before.
- JUDGE BAKER: Why was he turning off your power
- 3 that day?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I don't know why because we
- hadn't requested no one come out in August so why
- is he here?
- JUDGE BAKER: This was August of this last year?
- 8 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Correct.
- JUDGE BAKER: What other evidence do you have
- ¹⁰ to --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Just the affidavit of the
- 12 actual contractor.
- JUDGE BAKER: And where is Major Warren today?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Like as in body or person?
- JUDGE BAKER: Yes. Yes.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I have no idea where he's
- at. He's still a company, though, because I'm
- aware of that.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Are you a notary, Mr. Chapman?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Am I a notary? No, not
- anymore.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah. So when you

- 1 acknowledged --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That I know that person,
- 3 that he did work for me --
- 4 MR. GOLDSTEIN: That he appeared and signed
- 5 this, you acknowledged that on the 25th day of
- ⁶ January of this year, 2013?
- 7 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That he did work for me. I
- 8 acknowledged that.
- 9 MR. GOLDSTEIN: And who signed this?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That is Mr. Warren, and I'm
- acknowledging that he did the work, so that's not a
- 12 notary public.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Well --
- JUDGE BAKER: So let's -- I think we can kind of
- wrap this up.
- At the end, what money damage -- and I
- hate to use that word. But what monies are you
- contesting? Because you acknowledge that you've
- been receiving electric service for --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: To this point, right.
- JUDGE BAKER: So what portion of these bills are
- you contesting?

- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Well, basically I'm
- contesting all of them because we wanted an
- ³ accurate reading, but the problem of it this should
- 4 have been handled months back and it wouldn't have
- ⁵ gotten --
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. But let me just understand
- ⁷ this: So you're saying the \$2,000 balance that you
- have, you shouldn't have to pay any of that?
- 9 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I shouldn't have that,
- because it doesn't even add up. The fluctuation in
- the months doesn't make sense. It doesn't make --
- JUDGE BAKER: So the whole 2,000 you think --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. If they have in their
- mind that we're going to get 900 to \$1,000 per unit
- per year, then that would be the accurate ratio per
- unit and that would have made sense.
- But how can you prove that this was just
- my unit? That's the problem that we have with
- 19 that.
- JUDGE BAKER: And I understand that, but here's
- what I need to know: What you're requesting
- because you acknowledge that you had electricity.

- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Right.
- JUDGE BAKER: So a portion of whatever the
- 3 bill -- we'll say the bill is \$100.
- What portion are you claiming would be
- 5 legitimate and what portion are you claiming is
- 6 not?
- 7 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I don't have an accurate
- 8 amount, but because --
- JUDGE BAKER: I mean, I understand that's
- difficult, that question is difficult, but --
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Because we had power -- at
- least a third of this we've had power to it, if not
- a little bit more.
- But to actually say half, it wouldn't make
- sense to me because if those bills were just like
- flat across \$50 a month, \$60 a month, I don't have
- a problem with the fact that you're billing me for
- a time frame we didn't have power. I would still
- have a problem with the fact that you have an
- accurate reading for something that was inaccurate,
- but at least it would be a stable amount.
- To have these months where it just

- 1 fluctuates beyond understanding --
- JUDGE BAKER: But depending on heat, depending
- on air-conditioning, that can happen, especially
- when I look back at the months, it appears, you
- know, you're consuming more in the summer with
- 6 air-conditioning.
- 7 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: In the month of December,
- 8 Christmastime?
- ⁹ JUDGE BAKER: Yeah.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I'm Jewish. How are we --
- 11 I'm sorry. I'm trying to get this whole
- understanding.
- JUDGE BAKER: Do you have electric heat?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: No. We have gas heat.
- There's no justification to say --
- JUDGE BAKER: I mean, do you have any other
- evidence?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: That would be it right
- 19 there.
- JUDGE BAKER: Okay. Did I enter those exhibits?
- THE COURT REPORTER: No.
- JUDGE BAKER: I'll do that now.

- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: And the loss of our food
- and definitely our pain and suffering for that time
- ³ frame.
- JUDGE BAKER: Unfortunately, I can't compensate
- you. Even if that were to be the case that I
- agreed that you had all of those things legally
- 7 coming to you, this isn't the venue in which I can
- 8 compensate you for that. That's --
- 9 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Across the street.
- JUDGE BAKER: Yes.
- So let the record reflect that I'm
- entering Complainant's Exhibit 1A, which consists
- of two photographs, and 1B.
- 14 (Whereupon, Complainant's
- Exhibit Nos. 1A and 1B were
- admitted into evidence as
- of this date.)
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: And the affidavit?
- JUDGE BAKER: Do you have an objection to the
- ²⁰ affidavit?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: From the actual contractor
- who did the work?

- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Well, let me say this about the
- affidavit, Judge: It's obviously inadmissible
- because I don't have the opportunity to
- 4 cross-examine Mr. Warren and the fact that
- 5 Mr. Chapman verified his signature even though he's
- 6 not a notary is really suspect, to say the least.
- So it's inadmissible, but what the
- 8 affidavit does say, and I think that what is
- ⁹ important, is that the problem was the internal
- wiring in the building.
- 11 That is the responsibility of the
- association, its unit owners, and one of those unit
- owner is Mr. Chapman.
- JUDGE BAKER: So do you have an objection to
- 15 this?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I guess I have a technical
- objection to it, yes.
- JUDGE BAKER: I'm going to overrule your
- technical objection and I'm going to allow it in.

20

21

- 1 (Whereupon, Complainant's
- Exhibit No. 2 was
- admitted into evidence as
- of this date.)
- JUDGE BAKER: Do we have anything further? Do
- any of the parties wish to make a closing
- 7 statement?
- 8 MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: After you I'll make mine.
- 9 MR. GOLDSTEIN: No. You go first.
- JUDGE BAKER: It is yours.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Well, my closing statement
- would be that had this not been drug out the
- distance that it had, there could have been a
- remedy for this, whether it had been a credit to
- our account or anything.
- But this gentleman keeps making knowledge
- there was a wiring problem. There's no wiring
- problem. This is a power source. This is not
- wiring. This is the actual power source that they
- put in place, not a contractor.
- 21 And for his response to not having any
- dates for this time frame that these tragedies have

- taken place, it's suspect, and the mere fact that
- everything we brought to their attention that you
- would think they would want to receive as knowledge
- 4 to make sure it doesn't happen again, he in turn
- ⁵ decides he wants to protest against it as if to say
- 6 nothing's wrong with it.
- In either case, my closing statement would
- be liability is partial of ComEd for not making
- ⁹ that building safe. Knowing that there was a
- problem that their technician would put a tag on a
- meter and shut down complete power, that says there
- is a problem and we're going to make sure it's safe
- until it's corrected.
- 14 If they look at their own ComEd Web site
- or you dial the 800 number and you ask them point
- blank what do you do if there's a problem with a
- meter, they'll tell you, We tag it.
- We feel that they should have stepped up
- and do diligence to make sure that my family was
- safe and not have charged me and tell me that I
- 21 should go to 58 units and have all of them to sign
- off on me paying for to correct this issue when

- they have already come out and acknowledged this is
- what the problem is.
- And the owners cannot take care of that.
- 4 That is something for a ComEd someone who
- understands serious levels of power to a 58-unit
- ⁶ building. That's not your regular contractor.
- 7 That is someone that actually knows their own power
- 8 source and what needs to be done.
- ⁹ And we did not get that cooperation from
- them. In fact, we were talked to on occasions by a
- 11 rude individual where I in turn had to file a
- complaint against one of their persons.
- Of course, she did call back and apologize
- 14 after her superior spoke with her.
- 15 It's a fact of the matter while you're in
- your home with your family you don't have power,
- that's not the time frame you want your power
- company to start to act oblivious to your problem
- and then take their time responding to it and then
- the audacity to come into court and act like it
- didn't happen.
- So that would be my final statement.

- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Judge, if you look at the
- exhibits that have been presented by ComEd, you
- will see, particularly with to the activity
- statement, that the billing has been consistent
- 5 throughout the two-year period shown on the
- 6 activity statement.
- 7 This is obviously an internal wiring issue
- 8 that is the responsibility of Mr. Chapman and the
- 9 other unit owners in this condominium building.
- There is no evidence of any wrongdoing on the part
- of Commonwealth Edison Company.
- There's no tragedy. Mr. Chapman used
- electricity for over two years and over that
- two-year time frame he only made one single payment
- on his account, one payment for a two-year period.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I object. We have the
- 17 receipts to show --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: He used the electricity over
- that time period.
- There is no evidence that the building was
- unsafe. He's not presented one stitch of evidence
- that the building is unsafe.

- The affidavit that you've admitted into
- evidence is an affidavit of Mr. Major Warren. It's
- not even on a particular letterhead. There is no
- date that he said that he actually came out, if you
- ⁵ read the affidavit.
- I don't know what evidentiary value
- ⁷ there is to any of the exhibits that Mr. Chapman
- presented. You certainly can't see anything from
- ⁹ the photographs, and I've objected throughout this
- proceeding today to the photographs.
- The bottom line of it is Mr. Chapman owes
- \$2,000 to ComEd. He should be required to pay that
- \$2,000 in order to retain service.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Is there a rebuttal?
- JUDGE BAKER: You can rebut.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: I would object to
- counselor's statement. We have the receipts to
- show for all of our payments.
- And again, to be a utility provider and
- not provide the service but yet have billing, a
- company of integrity, a counsel of integrity would
- be the first one to step up and say, Those months,

- we're not going to charge you for that and we'll
- give you a credit for whatever your suffering has
- ³ been.
- 4 And that probably would have been close to
- something acceptable to say, you know, let's move
- ⁶ forward.
- The fact that, you know, his statement,
- you know, is of a negative, it doesn't even address
- ⁹ the issue at hand. He just wants to address the
- 10 fact that the issue has been exposed and not
- address the issue at hand. It's insulting.
- JUDGE BAKER: Let me ask you this: Did you
- write this?
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: Did I write it?
- JUDGE BAKER: Yeah.
- MR. DARRYL CHAPMAN: The attorney drew it up for
- Mr. Warren or his -- whoever. You can ask him
- yourself.
- JUDGE BAKER: I'm certainly not going to ask
- but -- okay.
- All right. With that, I will mark this
- record heard and taken.

1	HEARD	&	TAKEN	
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				